This is a lesson on the speaking roles that each speech has. And at the end there is a brief bit on voters.

PMC: 7m

* Present the motion to debate. The resolution
* Define and frame the round with definitions, judging criteria, and weighing mechanism and lens.
* In Policy rounds, the government must provide change in order to win the round.
* In Policy rounds, the PM must show how the government teams meets the burden of proof, showing a problem, providing change, and for non-policy rounds. They must provide argumentation for the opp to clash with.
* Fact rounds PM must meet the burden by providing evidence for the fact.

LOC: 8m

* Present and off-case and their own contentions. Procedurals as needed.
* Show how their case clashes with the gov case.
* Reply to the gov case and provide clash.
* Indirect refutation is off-case contentions. OFF CASE MUST COME BEFORE ON CASE
* Direct refutation is on-case counter points. You tie your contentions into the gov case.
* The LO should reply to every main argument that the gov brings forth.

MGC: 8m

* This is the last change for the government team to present new arguments.
* The MG should strongly reinforce the case. There is a coming 12 minutes of onslaught.
* The MG also needs to hit all of the major points made by the LO. If you don’t you’ll drop a ton of arguments and get raked over the coals by the LO during their rebuttal.
* Don’t repeat the case. Expand the case, offer new examples. This requires quick and critical thinking on the MG’s part. Staying ontop of news is the best way to have good info on hand.
* New statistics or evidence is good.

MOC: 8m

* Very much so like the MG.
* But while this is a constructive speech, one has to be careful introducing new arguments.
* The reasoning behind this, is that the PM will get to reply to these arguments in their rebuttal. You won’t get to defend any new points you make.
* MO must keep up the objections and counter points made by the LO.
* Reply to MG, don’t quote the LO.
* Make counter-points not repeat the same points

LOR: 4m

* Opp summary point.
* Select from winning points. 2-4 of the,.
* NO NEW ARGUMENTS.
* Don’t just repeat the MOC. You’ll lose. Repeating the MOC gives the PM a chance to prep more.
* Voters.

PMR: 5m

* Gov team summary.
* Same as above. Selecting 2-4 winning points.
* New-ish arguments may be made if they are in reply to MOC. But be very careful that you make clear where on the flow you are. And how you’re replying. I wouldn’t introduce new info, just expand the case over onto the MOCs new points.

Voters:

* Voters are presented in the rebuttals. And should always be the better part of a rebuttal.
* Places on the flow you’ve won
* Good voters aren’t arguments. They are debate terms.

1. Procedurals are always voters.
2. “Dropped Arguments” is a common voter.
3. The lens, judging criteria and weighing mechanism should be a part of your voters.

* Voters are to show where you’ve won the round.
* But you must also explain how.